

Building a Nature-Inspired Computer

Peter J Bentley

p.bentley@cs.ucl.ac.uk http://www.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/p.bentley/



1951

This is a **maze-solving machine** that is capable of **solving a maze** by trial-and-error means, of **remembering** the solution, and also of **forgetting** it in case the situation changes and the solution is no longer applicable.

...Now I would like to show you one further feature of the machine. I will change the maze so that the solution the machine found no longer works. By moving the partitions in a suitable way, I can obtain a rather interesting effect. In the previous maze the proper solution starting from Square A led to Square B, then to C, and on to the goal. By changing the partitions I have forced the machine at Square C to go to a new square, Square D, and from there back to the original square, A. When it arrives at A, it remembers that the old solution said to go to B and so it goes around the circle A, B, C, D, A, B, C, D It has established a vicious circle, or a singing condition.

- A neurosis

- Yes

It can't do that when its mind is blank, but can do it after it has been conditioned?Yes, only after it has been conditioned. However, the machine has an

antineurotic circuit built in to proven just this sort of solution

-It doesn't have any way to recognise that it is "**psycho**" it just recognizes that it has been going too long?

-Yes. As you see, it has now gone back to the exploring strategy.

UCL

Presentation of a Maze-Solving Machine

Claude Shannon 1951













1950

I believe that at the end of the century the use of words and general educated opinion will have altered so much that One will be able to speak of machines thinking without expecting to be contradicted.

I believe further that no useful purpose is served by concealing these beliefs.

The popular view that scientists proceed inexorably from well- established fact to wellestablished fact, never being influenced by any unproved conjecture, is quite mistaken.

Provided it is made clear which are proved facts and which are conjectures, no harm can result. Conjectures are of great importance since they suggest useful lines of research.



Computing Machinery and Intelligence

A. M. Turing

1950





In analyzing the functioning of the contemplated device, certain classificatory distinctions suggest themselves immediately.

First: Since the device is primarily a computer, it will have to perform the elementary operations of arithmetics most frequently. These are addition, multiplication and division.

It is therefore reasonable that it should contain **Specialized Organs** for just these operations... a central arithmetic part of the device will probably have to exist and this constitutes the first specific part: CA.

Second: The logical control of the device, that is the proper sequencing of its operations can be most efficiently carried out by a **Central control organ**... this constitutes the second specific part: CC.

Third: Any device which is to carry out long and complicated sequences of operations

(specifically of calculations) must have a considerable **MEMOTY...** this constitutes the third specific part: M.

...The three specific parts CA, CC and M correspond to the associative neurons in the human nervous system.

It remains to discuss the equivalents of the sensory or afferent and the motor or efferent neurons.

These are the input and the output organs of the device.





First Draft of a Report on the EDVAC

John von Neumann

1945









Conventional vs Natural Computation



Conventional	Natural
Deterministic	Stochastic
Synchronous	Asynchronous
Serial	Parallel
Heterostatic	Homeostatic
Batch	Continuous
Brittle	Robust
Fault intolerant	Fault tolerant
Human-reliant	Autonomous
Limited	Open-ended
Centralised	Distributed
Precise	Approximate
Isolated	Embodied
Linear Causality	Circular Causality



How to build a Nature-Inspired Computer?

















Graph, with index & pointer to represent potential future relationships?





Pattern matching to discover new relationships?



- Everything is a system
- Systems may comprise or share other nested systems.
- Systems can be transformed but never destroyed or created from nothing
- Interaction between systems may cause transformation of those systems, where the nature of that transformation is determined by a contextual system.
- All systems can potentially act as context and affect the interactions of other systems, and all systems can potentially interact in some context.
- The transformation of systems is constrained by the scope of systems.
- Computation is transformation



UCL



schemata code table

Code	value	code	value
0	000	n	11?
	000	0	1?0
а	001	p	1?1
b	00?	q	1??
С	010	r	?00
d	011	S	?01
е	01?	t	?0?
f	0?0	u	?10
g	0?1	V	?11
h	0??	W	?1?
i	100	x	??0
i	101	y	??1
k	10?	Z	???
L	110	1	111
m	111		

transformation function table

bits	Meaning
06	function identifier
710	schemata 1 matching threshold
1114	schemata 2 matching threshold
15	NOT

scope table

System	1	2	3	4	
1	0	0	0	0	
2	0	0	0.5	0	
3	1	0	0	0	
4	1	0	0	0	

Where ? means "don't care".





[•]UCL

Val	С																
0000	!	0100	/	0?00	:	1000	a	1100	Ι	1?00	R	?000	`	?100	i	??00	r
0001	#	0101	2	0?01	;	1001	А	1101	J	1?01	S	?001	a	?101	j	??01	S
000?	%	010?	3	0?0?	<	100?	В	110?	K	1?0?	Т	?00?	b	?10?	k	??0?	t
0010	&	0110	4	0?10	=	1010	С	1110	L	1?10	U	?010	С	?110	1	??10	u
0011	*	0111	5	0?11	>	1011	D	1111	Μ	1?11	V	?011	d	?111	m	??11	v
001?	+	011?	6	0?1?	[101?	E	111?	N	1?1?	W	?01?	e	?11?	n	??1?	W
00?0	,	01?0	7	0??0]	10?0	F	11?0	0	1??0	Х	?0?0	f	?1?0	0	???0	х
00?1	-	01?1	8	0??1	^	10?1	G	11?1	Р	1??1	Y	?0?1	g	?1?1	p	???1	у
00??	•	01??	9	0???	_	10??	Η	11??	Q	1???	Ζ	?0??	h	?1??	q	????	Z





Fig. 5. Systemic computation calculation of PRINT((A1-A2)*(A3-A4)). The initial systems prior to calculation (A). Systems transformed by subtract-escape function '-e' (executed fragments shown in bold): PRINT((A1-A2)*(A3-A4)) (B). Systems transformed by multiply function, prior to activation of PRINT function: PRINT((A1-A2)*(A3-A4)) (C). The same calculation can b§e performed in different ways, for example, a more compact, functionally equivalent arrangement of systems, sharing the subtract-escape function (D).

binding model *H* + *CI* <--> *HCI*





Molecular model of HCl (Hydrogen Chloride)



H() = new e@10.0 (!share(e); H_Bound(e)) H_Bound(e) = !e; H() Cl() = ?share(e); Cl_Bound(e) Cl_Bound(e) = ?e; Cl()

binding model *H* + *CI* <--> *HCI*





H }-energy _{f1} -{ Cl	> (H()Cl
H(CI) }}-energy _{f2}	> H CI
CI(H) }}-energy _{f3}	> H CI



How to build a parallel, stochastic, distributed computer that runs quickly?

Solution 1: Simulation.



#systemic start		// systemic computation code autogenerated by
(1.3.4) ····· 4		assembler from /Users/Peter/Ny
// define the fu	\$60.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00	programs/compliesc/buld/calculation1.sc
*function 500	3-1000000000000000000	// number of functions
#function ADDe	%b01000000000000000	20
#function SUBTRACT	%b110000000000000000	
#function SUBTRACT	% % b0 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	// number of systems
#function NULT	%b1010000000000000	10
#function NULTe	8b0110000000000000	
#function DIV	8b11100000000000000	// scope table
#function DIVe	%b000100000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
#function AND	8b 11 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
#Function DR	3 L1 01 10 00 00 00 00 00 00	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
#function ZERO	3601110000000000000	0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
#function ESCAPE	86111100000000000000	10000000000000000
#function CAPTURE	8b 00 00 10 00 00 00 00 00	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
#function PRINT	%b1000100000000000	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
#function COPY	8b 01 00 10 00 00 00 00 00	10000000000000000
#function ISZERO	8b110010000000000	10000000000000000
		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
// define some use	ful labels	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
#label zero	1 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
#label dontcare	1077777777777777777777 3L 1000000000000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
*labal num2	32 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
<pre>#label num3</pre>	3b 11 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
#label num4	3b 00 10 00 00 00 00 00 00	// function definitions
		NDF 000000000000000
// the program beg	ins here:	ADD 10000000000000
main (%d0 %d0 %d0)		ADDe 01000000000000
c1 [%d255 %d255 %d	255)	SUBTRACT 110000000000000
datal [numl 8d0 8d	10)	SUBTRACTe 0010000000000000
minum (from) and	or dontant	NOL1 101000000000000
SUBTRACTe (0.0) nur	n2 zero dontcare])	DIV 1110000000000000
		DIVe 000100000000000
#scope cl		AND 110100000000000
(DR 001100000000000
datal		EOR 101100000000000
data2		ZERD 011100000000000
ninus		ESCAPE 111100000000000
	9 E E 1	CAPTURE 0000100000000000000000000000000000000
data3 (mm1 2d) 2d	161	CODY A1001000000000000000000000000000000000
data4 (num2 %d0 %d	4.5	ISZERD 110010000000000
	-	
#scope c2		// system definitions
(0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
data3		1111111100000000 111111100000000 1111111
data4		100000000000000000000000000000000000000
ninus		010000000000000000000000000000000000000
times (Libertones a)	and dependence of the second	
I donteara zaro don	traral b	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
output [[dontcare	dontcare dontcare]	010000000000000000000000000000000000000
PRINT(0,0) dontcar	re dontcare dontcarel)	ZZZZZY''''bzzzzz 10100000000000 zzzzzy''''bzzzz

#scope main		
(
c1		
c2		
t ines		
i onebar		
#systemic end		

Fig. 6. Assembly language for systemic computer, system definitions take the form: "textualidentifier ([schemata 1] transformation-function [schemata 2])" (left). Corresponding compiled machine code (right)

















Visualising fire





MAPK phosphorylation model





Bi stable gene network





Model of Organism











How to build a parallel, stochastic, distributed computer that runs quickly?

Solution 1: Simulation. Good proof of concept, but slow!

Solution 2: Novel hardware.

FPGA SC –speed up key bottlenecks



HAoS Program Control Flow : HAoS enters an infinite computation loop after the SC program is loaded, which involves finding valid triplets and transforming the selected systems

FPGA SC





The SC FPGA Hardware Architecture.

CORE contains optimized logic for parallel schemata matching and memory elements. CU handles execution sequence of SC program and communication with optional CPU. REG BANK provides control and debug interface between CPU and local registers of SC sub-modules.

FU provides basic local processing functionality. A set of simple instructions is supported to avoid expensive data transfers between the REG BANK and the CPU.

FPGASC



UCL

HAoS Core basic building blocks



HAoS programming toolchain and software framework illustrating the complete suggested programming platform







Knapsack problem using genetic algorithm



The binary knapsack SC model. Non-initialized solutions are initialized by the initializer context and added into the computation scope where they are transformed through genetic operations. The output context updates, if necessary, the fittest solution.

MAPK phosphorylation model



The HAoS MAPK model in SC graphical notation. During phosphorylation, E1 mitogens activate KKKs, which become KKK*s and phosphorylate KKs, which, when double phosphorylated, become KKPPs and phosphorylate Ks. This process is reversed during dephosphorylation with KPase and KKPase phosphatases and E2 mitogens bringing the cascade to its initial state.

Cancer development model





Optimized SC cancer model. The surgery functionality is embedded in the death context while the contexts implementing the two main genetic operations, death and division, are chained



How to build a parallel, stochastic, distributed computer that runs quickly?

Solution 1: Simulation. Good proof of concept, but slow!

Solution 2: Novel hardware. Much faster, but limited!

Solution 3: Use existing parallel architectures.

Multicore CPU and GPU architectures



DR	A	М							

UCL

GPU



12 32 12 34 44 12 44 01 11 06

Randomly pick a value from the list and repeat without choosing the same value, until all have been chosen.

```
randomcycle(a, range)
```

a = (a + BIGPRIME) % (range)



Genetic Algorithm Optimization of Binary Knapsack

In the knapsack problem there are *n* objects with value $v_i > 0$ and weight $w_i > 0$. We want to find a set of objects with maximum total weight that fits into a knapsack with capacity *C*. Thus, we wish to maximize:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} x_{i} \text{ where } \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \le C \text{ and } x_{i} \in \{0,1\}$$

Here, we use a Genetic Algorithm in SC. There are three different solutions: uninitialized solutions, initialized solutions, and final solutions. The chromosome size equals the schema size (16 in this implementation). So, this program supports a knapsack with 16 objects.



Left: The Solution system *S.* Schema1 stores the chromosome. **XY** in Schema2 specifies solution type (00: non initialized, 11: final solution). **Right:** the systemic program (not all non-initialised solutions an GA systems shown).



CPU	Intel® dual core™, 2.40 GHz					
RAM	2 GB					
OS	Microsoft Windows XP profess	sional 2002 SP1				
	Name:	GeForce 9800 GT				
	CUDA:	1.1				
CDII	Size of Global memory:	1 GB				
GLO	Multiprocessors	14				
	Number of cores:	112				
	Clock Rate:	1.62 GHz				

- Number of knapsack objects is 16; the maximum knapsack's weight is 80.0 kg.
- Context systems: 3 GA systems and 1 output system
- Solution systems: 50 to 4000 systems for sequential implementation and 50 to 8000 systems for parallel implementation (each increment is double the previous increment except 800 to 1000 with an increment of 200)
- Final Solution system: 1 system
- Scope: 1 main scope and 1 computation scope

Performance comparison (speed)



Top: Execution time of knapsack problem on both sequential and parallel implementation with increasing number of systems. **Bottom left:** execution time of parallel implementation alone. **Bottom right:** improvement as shown by sequential divided by parallel execution times for different numbers of systems in the program.

Performance comparison (speed)



UC



What else could we use?

YPHER MATCH p = (:Category)<--(:Place)-[*]->(:Terminal {name:'A'}) RETURN p





What else could we use?



Memristor or neuromorphic chips?



What else could we use?



Something new?



Conclusions

- The future of computing, as predicted by von Neumann, will be parallel and distributed.
- Perhaps by learning lessons from nature we will be able to achieve this future with the efficiency and reliability of a living system.
- Biology and conventional technology are designed differently and may work very differently to each other.
- If we could learn to combine the advantages of existing technologies with those of natural systems, our capabilities would be transformed.



Conclusions

- Systemic computation is our solution. It is:
 - A model of computation
 - A common language for computer science and natural systems
 - A computer architecture
- It's possible to simulate the systemic computer, develop custom hardware, or use the latest parallel hardware solutions.
- The most practical and scalable solution today is to use the latest parallel hardware.
- We anticipate that the hardware of tomorrow will be even more suitable.



Thank You.

http://www.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/p.bentley/ http://www.peterjbentley.com/